

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boot 450 Altrandria, Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,313	02/28/2002	David Kammer	PALM-3749.US.P	2769
7:	590 08/25/2006		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
Third Floor				
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2143	
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/25/2004	4

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,313	KAMMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	40					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	lav 2006					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-9,12,18-20 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-6,10,11,13-17,21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is in regards to the Reply received on 05/11/2006.

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to the application filed on 02/28/2002. No claims were amended. There are no newly added claims. Claims 1-27 are pending. Claims 1-27 represent a method and apparatus for "for intelligently selecting a wireless communication access point".

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, and 23 have been carefully considered, but are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the following new ground of rejection as explained here below

The dependent claims stand rejected as articulated in the First Office Action and all objections not addressed in Applicant's response are herein reiterated.

In response to Applicant's arguments, 37 CFR § 1.11(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must show the amendments avoid such references or objections."

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-6, 10, 11, 13-17, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 7-9, 12, 18-20, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lortz U.S. Patent No. 6,505,243 B1 in view of Beasley et al (Beasley), U.S. Patent No. 7,016,325 B2.

Regarding claim 1, Lortz discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

Lortz discloses a method of connecting to a wireless communication access point comprising:

Art Unit: 2143

a) an initiator device broadcasting a first wireless message to a plurality
of potential access point devices, said initiator device storing therein a list of
recognized device addresses for connecting thereto (*fig. 1; column 5, lines 1-15*);
c) said initiator device comparing device addresses of said plurality of

- second wireless messages for address matches with said list of recognized device addresses (*column 7, lines76-19*);
- d) applying a fitness function to address matches of said c) to determine a single address (*column 7, lines76-19*; column 3, lines 12-34); and e) connecting to an access point device corresponding to said single address (*column 5, lines 1-31*).

However, Lortz fails to disclose b) in response to said initiator device broadcasting said first message said initiator device receiving a plurality of second wireless messages from a set of said plurality of potential access point devices.

In the same field of endeavor, Beasley discloses ". ... In block 1108, the BSU broadcasts one of the generated address values, and in block 1110 starts a timer. The timer value may be some default value, or a value retrieved from the initialization file 816. In block 1112, the BSU determines whether any responses have been received before the timer expires. If not, then the BSU in block 1114 determines that the generated address is unique, and the routine loops back to again perform the functions under block 1108, 1110 and 1112 with another of the locally generated addresses. All of the remaining addresses are then stored in the LMS file 816 for use when an MU

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313

Art Unit: 2143

requests to establish a link. (145) If a response is received, indicating that another BSU has generated such an address, then in block 1116, the BSU removes that address from the list. Each BSU in the PMN, during address selection and initialization, cooperates with each other by listening for broadcasts from other BSUs. An asynchronous process in the LMS software 810 listens for such requests by neighboring BSUs, and for each request, compares a received address with locally generated addresses that have previously been generated and verified (under block 1114) to determine whether to send a response... [see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Beasley's teachings of using response broadcast message to the initiator device, with the teachings of Lortz, for the purpose of "... providing link context mobility in at least a Bluetooth system..." as stated by Beasley in lines 28-32 of column 3. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.

Regarding claim 7, The combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said initiator device and said responding device are Bluetoothenabled devices [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Regarding claim 8, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the wireless communication access point of claim 1, but does not specifically discloses a method wherein said access point device is coupled to a network comprising a network server [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45].

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 9, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method of Claim 8 wherein a list of all current network access point addresses is maintained on said network server[see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45].

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 12, The combination Lortz-Beasley discloses a wireless communication device comprising:

a bus (see Lortz; fig. 1, item 104);

a wireless transceiver unit coupled to said bus for communicating with responding devices [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36]; a memory cache coupled to said bus (see Lortz; fig. 1, memory of device 2 and 3); and a processor coupled to said bus, said processor for performing a method for selecting and connecting to a responding access point device (see Lortz; fig. 1, memory of device 2 and 3), said method comprising:

- a) an initiator device broadcasting a first wireless message to a plurality of potential access point devices, said initiator device storing therein a list of recognized device addresses for connecting thereto (see Lortz; fig. 1; column 5, lines 1-15);
- b) said initiator device receiving a plurality of second wireless messages from a set of said plurality of potential access point devices [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36];
- c) said initiator device comparing device addresses of said plurality of second wireless messages for address matches with said list of recognized device addresses (see Lortz; column 7, lines76-19);
- d) applying a fitness function to address matches of said c) to determine a single address (see Lortz; column 7, lines76-19; column 3, lines 12-34); and

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313

Art Unit: 2143

e) connecting to an access point device corresponding to said single address (see Lortz; *column 5, lines 1-31*).

Regarding claim 18, Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 12 wherein said initiator device and said responding device are Bluetooth-enabled devices [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Regarding claim 19, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 12 wherein said access point device is coupled to a network comprising a network server [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45].

Regarding claim 20, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method of Claim 19 wherein a list of all current network access point addresses is maintained on said network server [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45].

Regarding claim 23, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses in a wireless communication device having a wireless transceiver and a memory cache comprising a list of access point addresses, a method for updating said list of access point addresses comprising:

- a) connecting said wireless communication device with a network server, said network server comprising a list of current network access point addresses for a network [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45];
- b) comparing said list of access point addresses to said list of current network access point addresses [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45];
- c) adding to said list of access point addresses in said memory cache of said wireless communication device any addresses found on said list of current network access

point addresses and not found on said list of access point addresses [see Lortz; fig. 1; column 2, lines 1-64]; and

Page 9

d) deleting from said list of access point addresses in said memory cache of said wireless communication device any addresses not found on said list of current network access point addresses and found on said list of access point addresses[see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Regarding claim 24, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 23 wherein said wireless communication device is a Bluetooth-enabled device [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Regarding claim 25, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 23 wherein connecting said wireless communication device with a network server comprises connecting through an access point [see Lortz; column 3, lines 23-45].

Regarding claim 26, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 23 wherein said access point is a Bluetooth enabled device access point [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Regarding claim 27, the combination Lortz-Beasley discloses the method as recited in Claim 23 wherein said wireless communication device is a portable computer system [see Beasley; column 28, lines 17-50; see Beasley; column 20, lines 16-36].

Application/Control Number: 10/086,313 Page 10

Art Unit: 2143

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's Request for Reconsideration filed on 05/11/2006 has been carefully considered but is not deemed fully persuasive. However, because there exists the likelihood of future presentation of this argument, the Examiner thinks that it is prudent to address Applicants' main points of contention.

- A. The Lortz patent does not disclose nor does it suggest that device addresses are compared with the list of recognized device addresses, as claimed.
- B. Applicant contends that the rejection admits that Lortz fails to disclose that in response to said initiator device broadcasting said first message said initiator device receiving a plurality of second wireless messages from a set of said plurality of potential access point devices, as claimed. The rejection relies on Gilkes to remedy this defect. The Applicants respectfully traverse.
- C. Applicant contends that Gilkes does not disclose nor does it suggest receiving a plurality of messages in response to broadcasting a message to a plurality of potential access point devices, as claimed. Moreover, independent Claim 1 does not require a unique identifier whereas Gilkes necessarily requires The unique identifier in order to respond to the mobile communication device 51.
- 7. As to "Point A" it is the position of the Examiner that new prior art of Beasley discloses this limitation of the claim [see Beasley; column 20, lines 27-36].

As to "Point B and C", it is also the Examiner's position that Beasley teaches this limitation of the claim. [see rejection of claims 1, 12 and 23].

Examiner notes with delight that no new matter has been added the claims are supported by the application as filed. However, applicant has failed in presenting claims and drawings that delineate the contours of this invention as compared to the cited prior art. Applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited that would overcome the 103(a) rejections applied against the claims, the rejection is therefore sustained.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's remarks on the prior of record necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL. The Examiner strongly anticipates a Final Rejection Office Action on the next response if amendments are not properly made to the claims to perhaps place them in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-9000.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG &

August 20, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100